# Improving Propulsion Efficiency with SISTEMAR CLT® Propellers

Dott. Ing. Juan-Gonzalez Adalìd, SISTEMAR
Dott. Ing. Giulio Gennaro, SINM



SMM 2014, Hamburg

# PROPULSION EFFICIENCY

Stringent requirements on propulsion efficiency due to the current market trend, the rising cost of fuel, the concern for pollution and IMO EEDI and EEOI...

Historically much has been achieved in the reduction of the advance resistance of the hull, naked and appended.

In addition many propulsion improving devices (PID) have been invented, later abandoned and then reinvented and reintroduced in conjunction with energy crisis. Nowadays the PID portfolio spans over pre-swirlers, swirl recoverers, ducts, hull fins, rudder fins, bulbed or twisted rudders, hub caps... either alone or combined one with the other.

Very little innovation on convetional propellers: little is worth mentioning apart from the introduction of high skew and a continuous improvement of the annular profiles.

# UNCONVENTIONAL PROPELLERS

At the same time two types of unconventional propellers have been developed: surface propellers, which bear little interest for commercial shipping, and tip propellers.

Tip Propellers:

Kappel Propellers (KAPPEL, Denmark, now MAN Diesel & Turbo)

about 10 installations

Up to 4 % gain over an equivalent conventional propeller

CLT Propellers (SISTEMAR, Spain, evolution of TVF Propellers)

more than 280 installations

About 5 - 8 % gain over an equivalent conventional propeller

# TIP PROPELLERS

## KAPPEL

## CLT

# CLT PROPELLERS

CLT propellers are characterized by the following:

- The tip chord is finite.
- An end plate is fitted at the blade tip, located on the pressure side.
- The blade tip bears a substantial load.
- The thrust increases from the root to the tip of the blades.
- Low to moderate skew.

Thanks to the end plates the pressure and suction side do not communicate, inhibiting the formation of tip vortexes and allowing the generation of thrust along the entire blade and the reduction of pitch.

# CLT PROPELLER ADVANTAGES

Higher efficiency than conventional propellers (5 to 8%) and other unconventional propellers (3 to 5%)

- Fuel saving => Reduced emissions => Greater range
- Saving on MM/EE maintenance
- Higher top speed => greater operational flexibility

Inhibition of cavitation and of the tip vortex

- Less noise & vibrations
- Lower pressure pulses
- Lower area ratio

Greater thrust

- Smaller optimum propeller diameter
- Better maneuverability.

# CLT PROPELLER ADVANTAGES



# EQUIVALENT PROPELLERS

Equivalent CP propeller blades for a modern Ro-Pax:

For the same design point (ship speed, thrust, propeller rpm) the CLT propeller blade is shorter and has a lower area ratio.

The difference in geometry is striking!

# CLT PROPELLER APPLICATIONS

The application range up to now:

- Up to 300,000 DWT
- Up to 22 MW per propeller
- Up to 36 knots.

Ship types:

Tankers

Bulk Carriers

General cargoes

Container ships & Reefers

Ro–Ro, Ro–Pax

Fishing vessels & Trawlers

Catamarans & Hydrofoils

Patrol boat, Corvettes

Landing crafts

Oceanographic vessels

Yachts

# CLTP BULKER

# CLTP TANKER



# CLTP CONTAINER VESSEL

# CLTP RO-PAX

# CLTP HYDROFOIL

# PAST R&D

Main Goal:

To have the same confidence on CLT propeller design and model test as for conventional propellers.

1997 – 2000 “Optimization of ship propulsion by means of innovative solutions including tip plate propellers.”

2001-2003 “Research on the cavitation performance of CLT propellers, on the influence of new types of propeller blades annular sections and the potential application to POD’s”

2003 – 2005 “Research on the performance of high loaded propellers for high speed conventional ferries”

Result:

Development of ad hoc model test procedures and extrapolation.

# EXTRAPOLATION

Extrapolation procedures were presented at the 2005 Motor Ship Conference and are based on ITTC '78 plus special correction for the peculiar characteristics of CLT propellers.

Scale effects on:

viscous forces over the blades

lift forces over the blades

viscous forces over the end plates

# TRIPOD

TRIPOD is an European FP7 project conducted by ABB and VTT, Finland, AP MOLLER MAERSK, Denmark, CEHIPAR, CINTRANAVAL-DEFCAR and SISTEMAR, Spain.

The main goal was the combination of podded propulsion (POD), CLT tip loaded end plate propellers and counter-rotating propeller (CRP) on the 8.500 TEU's container vessel "Gudrun Maersk".

Best efficiency with twin podded CRP CLT propellers, but high cost and large modifications.

Second best efficiency single CLT propeller: small cost and no modifications.



# NICOP

Within the framework of the National International Cooperative Opportunities in Science and Technology Program (NICOP) the OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH of the U.S. NAVY (ONR) has contracted SISTEMAR for a two years R&D project called “Energy Efficient Contracted-Loaded Tip (CLT) Propellers for Naval Ships”

SISTEMAR has designed a CLT propeller and NSWCCD has design and alternative Tip Loaded Propeller; both propeller models have been manufactured and tested by CEHIPAR. Computations have bne made both by NSWCCD and SISTEMAR/CEHIPAR for the two propeller designs using RANS methods at model and full-scale Reynolds numbers.

This project has provided the US Navy with direct experience on energy efficient tip plate propellers.

A paper will be presented at 30$^{th}$ Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamic, November 2014, Hobart, Australia.

# CARNIVAL - GRAND CLASS

CARNIVAL CORPORATION has carried out and investigation on CLT propellers by means of a series of model tests, the goal was to compare a a CLT propeller with the original and with an updated conventional propeller for the C/V Grand Class.

Resistance and self-proulsion model tests have been performed by CEHIPAR while cavitation tests and pressure pulses measurements have been performed by HSVA (Hamburg) in the HYKAT. CLT propellers have shown superior efficiency than conventional propeller.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| LPP | 289.9 | m |
| B | 35.97 | m |
| T | 7.92 | m |
| GT | 109,000 | - |
| Capacity | 4,314 | People |
| Built | 1998 | - |

# CLT & CFD

CFD for ship propulsion are a qualitative not a quantitative method due to fact that it is impossible to validate the results at full scale!

The first results not satisfactory, up to 6.5% error on KT and 16.5% error on KQ at model scale!

It was decided to start a collaboration VTT, CEHIPAR, UNIGE to develop and validate CFD / numerical codes for CLT propellers.

Latest calculations show better agreement with model test results and scale effects of similar magnitude to the one measured in the field.



# EEDI, EEOI, PROPS & PIDS

In principle the careful optimization of the hull (main dimensions, bow and stern shape), followed by the selection of a tip plate propeller and of a bulbed and twisted rudder will result in a high propulsion efficiency (hence low EEDI and EEOI).

If the above is performed effectively, the use of further PIDS (e.g. pre or post stators) is likely to bring only marginal gains. The exception are vessels with unfavourable main dimensions and non optimized hulls.

CLT Propellers are compatible with all PIDs.

The design of the propeller and of the selected PID must be integrated.

To retrofit a PID without updating the propeller is substandard.







# ROY MAERSK

In 2006 A.P. Moeller Maersk who, at that time, was conducting an internal evaluation of energy saving devices, selected CLT propellers as the single most promising device and a joint R&D campaign was launched.

CLT propellers were designed for a 2,500 TEU container vessel, a 35,000 DWT product tanker and a VLCC and were tested at model scale at HSVA, Hamburg. The CLT propeller for the 35,000 DWT product tanker was also tested at CEHIPAR and it was retrofitted on the M/S Roy Maersk at the end of October 2009.

Results are in good agreement with model tests and design calculations.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| LPP | 162.0 | m |
| B | 27.40 | m |
| D | 17.30 | m |
| T | 9.75 | m |
| Δ | 35,300 | t |

| | Conventional | CLT | |
|---|---|---|---|
| D | 5.65 | 5.25 | m |
| z | 4 | 4 | - |
| AeAo | 0.563 | 0.490 | - |
| H@07R | 3.685 | 4.050 | m |

# ROY MAERSK

# JS GREENSTONE

In spring 2014 Sinopacific Offshore Engineering, Shanghai, China, has delivered the first unit of a bathc of four ethylene carries to Evergas, Denmark.

This order was a milestone for CLT propellers as it represented the first order from a Chinese Shipyard, the first model tests of CLT propellers in China, the first CLT propeller built in China.

Sea trials were performed in March 2014 in the Yellow See.

Sea trial results confirmed the superior efficiency of the CLT propeller.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| LPP | 134.50 | m |
| B | 21.60 | m |
| T | 7.50 | m |
| V | 15.50 | knots |
| P | 5,800 | kW |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| CLT | PROPELLER | | |
| D | 05.30 | | m |
| z | 4 | | - |
| AeAo | 0.435 | | - |
| type | FPP | | m |

# JS GREENSTONE

# SPANISH NAVY

BAM class corvettes, equipped with CP CLT propellers (twin screw, 4 blades, diameter 3.45 meter, MCR 2 x 4.5 MW).

M/v Cantabria, logistic ship, equipped with the largest and most powerful CP CLT propeller manufactured to date (single screw, 5 blades, diameter 5.7 meter, MCR 21.8 MW).

# FORTUNY & SOROLLA

Ro-Pax Fortuny and sister vessel Sorolla were build by Izar Puert Real ni 2002. From the beginning they were suffering from noise and vibrations in low pitch / high rpm operation, as typical of Ro-Pax fitted with shaft generators.

After extensive model test CLT propeller blades were retrofitted, allowing an 8% increase in efficiency and removing the noise and vibrations related problems.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| LPP | 157.0 | m |
| B | 26.20 | m |
| T | 6.20 | m |
| V | 23.0 | knots |
| P | 29,300 | kW |

| | Conventional | CLT | |
|---|---|---|---|
| D | 4.60 | 4.37 | m |
| z | 4 | 4 | - |
| AeAo | 0.714 | 0.52 | - |
| type | CP | CP | m |

# FORTUNY




# CONCLUSIONS

CLT propellers are a a mature technology. Their merits of have been proven in about 280 full scale applications on very different ship types.

The efficiency increase (and hence the achieved fuel saving ) is in the range of 5 – 8 % over equivalent conventional propellers and about 3 – 5% over other non conventional propellers, being higher for slow vessels with high block coefficient.

The ROI for new buildings is very short (3 to 6 months), making CLT propellers a dominant choice for increasing the propulsion efficiency and lowering the EEDI and the EEOI.

In addition CLT propellers do not introduce any modification whatsoever to the vessel, therefore they can be introduced also as retrofits or for vessels the design of which has been already concluded.

Finally CLT propellers are compatible with all PID currently offered, thereby allowing even further efficiency gain.